

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,973	02/04/2004	Steven C. Shanks	206-024	5207
33354 7590 01/16/2007 ETHERTON LAW GROUP, LLC 5555 E. VAN BUREN STREET, SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
PHOENIX, AZ 85008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
<del></del>	· -			<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/16/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

$\bigcirc$	_ ^
Y	Y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A sale of Occasions	10/772,973	SHANKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4,10 and 14-19 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>4,10 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) $igtie$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,973

Art Unit: 3739

## Response to Arguments

In the response to the Applicant's arguments filed on August 21, 2006, the examiner provided rejections based on obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). However, confusion resulted due to the improper use of the wording anticipated rather than unpatentable as is proper under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as the rejections was changed from a 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection to a 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. The examiner regrets this typographical oversight.

Due to this confusion, the finality of the office action of November 1, 2006 is withdrawn.

The rejections are restated under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) herein. Since no new art has been introduced, the rejection is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4, 10 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,582,454 to Yayama in view of U.S. Patent 6,267,779 to Gerdes. Yayama teaches

Art Unit: 3739

a device for treating the parasympathetic nervous system using blue, green and red lasers (Col. 4, lines 9-15), independently controlled by a drive control unit (Fig. 2, # 2). The laser power is from 1 to 5 mW and pulse rates from a few Hz to 1KHz. The beams may be arbitrarily adjusted implying simultaneous operation (Col. 5, lines 12-24). Optics are provided for each beam to condense the beams (Col. 4, lines 17-18), such condensing being interpreted as shaping the beam. The optics may vary the width of the beams (Col. 5, lines 25-30). Yayama teaches prior art probes with internal sources (Fig. 1A), thus inherently teaching the sources are in the handheld probe. Yayama teaches a probe that is pushed against the tissue surface for treatment (Col. 6, lines 1-6), this interpreted as being freely movable by an operator. Yayama does not teach different spot shapes. Gerdes discloses an apparatus for therapeutic laser treatment that includes handheld wands (Fig. 7) that each may deliver two wavelengths of laser energy. The beams are combined and delivered to the wands, which include adjustable optics to focus and shape the beams (Col. 8, lines 31-34). The beam shape may be circular or rectangular (Col. 9, line 49), or a variety of other patterns. The rectangular shape is interpreted as a linear shape by assigning a minimal value to the "Y" dimension. A controller for the sources is disclosed that may control the pulse parameters, including, continuous or pulsed, pulse duty cycle and duration of application for each of the radiation sources synchronously or independently with continuous operation possible by selection of a duty cycle of 100 percent (Col. 11, lines 3-8). Specifically mentioned is a pulse frequency of one hertz (Col. 11, line 63). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use optics to produce various beam shapes as taught by Gerdes in the invention of Yayama as such optics are well known in the art and both Gerdes and Yayama suggest varying the beam for specific treatments. It is noted, that while specific spot shapes are cited, no criticality is associated with any specific shape.

Art Unit: 3739

It is proper to take into consideration not only the teachings of the prior art, but also the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Luck, 476 F.2d 650, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973). Specifically, those of ordinary skill in the art are presumed to have some knowledge of the art apart from what is expressly disclosed in the references. In re Jacoby, 309 F.2d 513, 135 USPQ 317 (CCPA 1962).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,973

Art Unit: 3739

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Henry M. Johrson, III Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

HENRY M. JOHNSON, III PRIMARY EXAMINER